UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,903	10/30/2003	Mark S. Penke	A3348Q	9233
62095 7590 08/04/2009 FAY SHARPE / XEROX - ROCHESTER 1228 EUCLID AVENUE, 5TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			FRENEL, VANEL	
THE HALLE BUILDING CLEVELAND, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/696,903	PENKE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	VANEL FRENEL	3687		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed The timely filed The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 45-78 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 45-78 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the second state of the second sec	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/696,903 Page 2

Art Unit: 3687

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

This communication is in response to the Restriction/Election filed on 4/09/09.
 Claims 44-78 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 44-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reddy (2003/0227643) in view of Kato (2003/0036909).

As per claim 44, Reddy discloses a multimedia hub comprising: a chassis comprising two towers, a first tower and a second tower stand on a floor (See Reddy, Fig.1, Page 3, Paragraph 0032); a video display device extending between the first and second towers (See Reddy, Fig.2, Page 3, Paragraph 0032); a microprocessor disposed in the chassis and running an operating system comprising a user interface (See Reddy, Page 2, Paragraph 0018, Page 3, Paragraph 0036); at least one video display device disposed on the chassis and receiving display data from the operating system (See Reddy Page 3, Paragraph 0030); at least one input device disposed on the chassis and arranged for user input of data to the operating system (See Reddy, Page

Application/Control Number: 10/696,903

Art Unit: 3687

2, Paragraph 0018; Page 6, Paragraph 0061); at least one data port with which the hub can communicate with another device (See Reddy, Page 2, Paragraph 0017);

Page 3

Reddy does not explicitly disclose at least one marking-based output device to which a user can direct output.

However, this feature is known in the art, as evidenced by Kato. In particular, Kato suggests that the multimedia has at least one marking-based output device to which a user can direct output (See Kato, Page 1, Paragraph 0010).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Kato within the system of Reddy with the motivation of providing an interface device for interfacing a visually impaired with a multi function machine, the multi function machine having an audio operation mode and a normal operation mode, including: a function control unit for identifying a use of the multi function machine by the visually impaired and for switching an operational mode of the multi function machine from the normal operation mode to the audio operation mode upon identifying the use by the visually impaired; an operational control unit connected to the function unit for controlling a user input and a user output; a voice input unit connected to the operational unit for inputting a voice input as the user input with respect to the layered menu in the audio operation mode; a menu control unit connected to the operational control unit for tracking a current position in a layered menu having multiple layers based upon the user input, each layer having a predetermine number of operational items; and a voice output unit connected to the

Art Unit: 3687

operational unit for outputting an audio feedback in response to the user input (See Kato, Page 1, Paragraph 0010).

As per claim 45, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the at least one data port comprises a networking port (See Reddy, Paragraph 0017).

As per claim 46, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the at least one data port comprises a parallel interface port (See Reddy, Page 4, Paragraph 0037).

As per claim 47, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the at least one data port comprises a serial interface port (See Reddy, Page 4, Paragraph 0037).

As per claim 48, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the another device is a portable computer (See Reddy, Page 6, Paragraph 0061).

As per claim 49, Reddy discloses the hub of wherein the another device is a personal digital assistant (See Reddy, Page 5, Paragraph 0059).

As per claim 50, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the another device is a storage device (See Reddy Page 6, Paragraph 0065).

Art Unit: 3687

As per claim 51, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the storage device is an optical media drive (See Reddy Page 3, Paragraph 0030; Page 4, Paragraph 0043).

As per claim 52, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the optical media drive is a read/write drive (See Reddy, Page 6, Paragraph 0061).

As per claim 53, Kato discloses the hub wherein the video display device a touch-sensitive display that is also one of the at least one input device (Kato, Page 2, Paragraph 0030).

As per claim 54, Kato discloses the hub wherein the at least one input device comprises a keyboard (See Kato, Page 2, Paragraph 0030).

As per claim 55, Kato discloses the hub of wherein the keyboard is a graphically-represented keyboard on a touch-sensitive display (See Kato, Fig.2; Page 2, Paragraph 0029).

As per claim 56, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the keyboard is disposed on the chassis (See Reddy, Fig.2, Page 3, Paragraph 0032).

Art Unit: 3687

As per claim 57, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the at least one input device comprises a pointing device (See Reddy, Page 5, Paragraph 0059).

As per claim 58, Kato discloses the hub wherein the pointing device is a track pad (See Kato, Fig.6).

As per claim 59, Kato discloses the hub wherein the pointing device is a touch-sensitive display (See Kato, Fig.2; Page 2, Paragraph 0029).

As per claim 60, Kato discloses the hub wherein the at least one input device is a speech recognition package (See Kato, Page 6, Paragraph 0063).

As per claim 61, Kato discloses the hub wherein the speech recognition package provides control over the hub and applications running on the hub via spoken commands (See Kato, Page 6, Paragraph 0063).

As per claim 62, Kato discloses the hub of claim 60 wherein the speech recognition package provides navigation of a network to which the hub is attached via spoken commands (See Kato, Page 6, Paragraph 0063).

As per claim 63, Kato discloses the hub wherein the speech recognition package comprises a command and control package (See Kato, Fig.6; Page 3, Paragraph 0041).

Page 7

As per claim 64, Kato discloses the hub wherein the speech recognition package comprises a speech-to-text package (See Kato, Page 6, Paragraph 0063).

As per claim 65, Reddy discloses the hub further comprising a work surface extending between the two towers (See Reddy, Fig.2, Page 3, Paragraph 0032).

As per claim 66, Kato discloses the hub further comprising a scanning interface arranged to accept an original object to be scanned to create an image of the original object (See Kato, Page 8, Paragraph 0077).

As per claim 67, Kato discloses the hub wherein the image is stored on a storage medium of the device (See Kato, Page 8, Paragraph 0077).

As per claim 68, Kato discloses the hub wherein at least one of the first and second towers houses the marking-based output device (See Kato, Page 7, Paragraph 0067).

As per claim 69, Kato discloses the hub wherein at least one of the first and second towers houses an output tray of the marking-based output device (See Kato,

Application/Control Number: 10/696,903 Page 8

Art Unit: 3687

Page 7, Paragraph 0067).

As per claim 70, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the video display device is a water-vapor based display device (See Reddy Page 3, Paragraph 0030).

As per claim 71, Reddy discloses the hub wherein the video display device is a projection device (See Reddy, Page 6, Paragraph 0063).

Claims 72-78 recite the same limitations are claims 1-71 are therefore rejected for the same reasons given above, and incorporated herein.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VANEL FRENEL whose telephone number is (571)272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571-272-3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,903 Page 9

Art Unit: 3687

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vanel Frenel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3687 July 30, 2009